

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,967	12/28/2000	Larry D. Woodring	BELL-0026/99190	6937
38952 75	590 06/22/2004		EXAMINER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			BARNIE, REXFORD N	
ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2643	9
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/749,967	WOODRING, LARRY D.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	REXFORD N BARNIE	2643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Not</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,11-13,15-20 and 22 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,11-13,15-20 and 22 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. REXFORD BARNIE	
Attachment(s)		PRIMARY EXAMINER 06/16/101	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/749,967

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, 11-13, 15-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kay et al. (US Pat# 5,254,571) in view of Pershan et al. (US Pat# 6,718,026).

Regarding claim 1, Kay et al. teaches a system for managing within a telephone network comprising of a plurality of SSP to which a caller calling a business can be connected as well as an agent working on behalf of a business in (see fig. 1). Kay teaches a SCP which can keep records of work at home agent information, billing information and routing data in (see col. 18 line 41-col. 19). Furthermore, assuming a caller is connected to a SSP associated with a business, the call is intercepted at the SSP and then the SCP is queried for call routing information at which point, the call can be forwarded to an agent working at home by using well known call forwarding techniques in (see col. 19). When an agent working at home on behalf of a business makes a call, it is noted and then billed to the business according to Kay. Kay teaches being able to provide such a service based on an originating telephone number (ANI) and the DN (dialed number) in (see col. 18 lines 59-68).

Application/Control Number: 09/749,967

Art Unit: 2643

Kay fails to teach providing a distinctive ringing when a call is forwarded from one telephone to another using a SCP.

Providing distinctive ring signals with a call forwarded is well known in the art.

Pershan et al. teaches a call forwarding methods and apparatus wherein call forwarding can be provided with distinctive ringing signals in order to inform a called party of the call forwarding status in (see col. 10 lines 27-32, col. 8 lines 28-30, col. 3 line 23-col. 6 line 49).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Pershan into that of Kay thus making it possible to alert a user of an incoming call which has been forwarded from a first telephone to the second telephone in order to make a determination whether to answer the call or not.

Regarding claims 2-9, The combination teaches being able to route calls or to forward them to an agent and if a call is made by an agent on behalf of a business/corporation, billing the business entity for the call based on information stored in a database of a SCP in (see col. 18 lines 41-col. 19 of Kay).

Regarding claim 11, the combination including Roberts teaches providing distinctive ringing with call forwarding of calls in (see col. 10 lines 27-32, col. 8 lines 28-30, col. 3 line 23-col. 6 line 49 of Pershan et al.).

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Kay et al. teaches a system for managing within a telephone network comprising of a plurality of SSP to which a caller calling a business can be connected as well as an agent working on behalf of a business in (see fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2643

Kay teaches a SCP which can keep records of work at home agent information, billing information and routing data in (see col. 18 line 41-col. 19). Furthermore, assuming a caller is connected to a SSP associated with a business, the call is intercepted at the SSP and then the SCP is queried for call routing information at which point, the call can be forwarded to an agent working at home by using well known call forwarding techniques in (see col. 19). When an agent working at home on behalf of a business makes a call, it is noted and then billed to the business according to Kay. Kay teaches being able to provide such a service based on an originating telephone number (ANI) and the DN (dialed number) in (see col. 18 lines 59-68).

Kay fails to teach providing a distinctive ringing when a call is forwarded from one telephone to another using a SCP.

Providing distinctive ring signals with a call forwarded is well known in the art.

Pershan et al. teaches a call forwarding methods and apparatus wherein call forwarding can be provided with distinctive ringing signals in order to inform a called party of the call forwarding status in (see col. 10 lines 27-32, col. 8 lines 28-30, col. 3 line 23-col. 6 line 49).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Roberts into that of Kay thus making it possible to alert a user of an incoming call which has been forwarded from a first telephone to the second telephone in order to make a determination whether to answer the call or not.

Application/Control Number: 09/749,967

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claims 15-16, The combination teaches being able to forward calls to an agent working on behalf of an organization using known techniques which based on the combination would be routing a call originally directed to a first telephone to a second telephone.

Regarding claims 17-20, the combination teaches being able to route calls to or forward them to an agent and if the call is made on behalf of a business for instance, the business can be charged for such calls and if not, the calls can be process in conventional sense meaning a caller (agent) can be charge for such calls if they are toll calls in (see Kay).

Regarding claim 22, Kay et al. teaches a system for managing within a telephone network comprising of a plurality of SSP to which a caller calling a business can be connected as well as an agent working on behalf of a business in (see fig. 1). Kay teaches a SCP which can keep records of work at home agent information, billing information and routing data in (see col. 18 line 41-col. 19). Furthermore, assuming a caller is connected to a SSP associated with a business, the call is intercepted at the SSP and then the SCP is queried for call routing information at which point, the call can be forwarded to an agent working at home by using well known call forwarding techniques in (see col. 19). When an agent working at home on behalf of a business makes a call, it is noted and then billed to the business according to Kay. Kay teaches being able to provide such a service based on an originating telephone number (ANI) and the DN (dialed number) in (see col. 18 lines 59-68).

Kay fails to teach providing a distinctive ringing when a call is forwarded from one telephone to another using a SCP.

. Providing distinctive ring signals with a call forwarded is well known in the art.

Pershan et al. teaches a call forwarding methods and apparatus wherein call forwarding can be provided with distinctive ringing signals in order to inform a called party of the call forwarding status in (see col. 10 lines 27-32, col. 8 lines 28-30, col. 3 line 23-col. 6 line 49).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Roberts into that of Kay thus making it possible to alert a user of an incoming call which has been forwarded from a first telephone to the second telephone in order to make a determination whether to answer the call or not.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 11-13, 15-20 and 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Duran (US Pat# 6,6067,456) teaches providing call forwarding in conjunction with distinctive ringing in (see col. 4 lines 51-61).

Kung et al. (US Pat# 6,6687,360) call forwarding in conjunction with distinctive ringing based on statement BRG can generate a distinctive ringing tome on the

. D. .

Page 7

forwarded phone to notify the subscriber that the call is a forwarded call and not a call originally directed to the forwarded phone.

Roberts et al. teaches call forwarding with a distinctive ring tone feature in (see col. 5 lines 6-20 and disclosure).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **REXFORD N BARNIE** whose telephone number is (703) 306-2744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CURTIS KUNTZ can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER REXFORD BARNIE 06/15/04

REXFORD BARNIE PRIMARY EXAMINER